**CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH**

**UNIVERSITY RESOURCES COUNCIL (URC)**

**Meeting #5**

**November 2, 2010**

**BROTMAN HALL 302**

**1:00PM – 3:00PM**

1. The meeting was called to order at 1:01 with the following people present:

Officers: Praveen Soni, Chair, Paul Ratanasiripong, Vice-Chair, Sharon Guthrie, Secretary

Members: Tina Arora (substituting for Jean Houck), Terie Bostic, Paul Buonora, Lou Caron, Tim Caron, Ali Chu, David Dowell, Craig Fleming, Dee Dee Green, Doug Harris, Marianne Hata, Ann Johnson, Ted Kadowaki, Patricia Kearney, Sophie Lee, Brian Livingston, Mike Losquadro, Patti Meylor, Aubry Mintz, Bill Moore, Carol Perruso-Brown, Anna Sandoval, Tariq Shehab, Maria Slaughter, Mary Stephens, Jalal Torabzadeh, Henry Wu, Rosario Yeung-Linquist

Excused: Charleen Rice

1. The agenda was approved.
2. The minutes of the October 19, 2010 meeting were approved unanimously.
3. Announcements
	1. Ted Kadowaki reinforced that we will know more about the budget going forward after next week’s Board of Trustees meeting, and even further detail after January 10 when the budget for 2011-12 will be presented by the Governor.
	2. CSULB’s target is now 26,454 FTES; if we do not meet the target, there will be a financial penalty. There is optimism that we may meet and even exceed the target.
4. Reports
	1. RPP charge and process –Vice President Stephens; Time certain 1:05pm
		1. RPP is a campus-wide committee (President’s Advisory Task Force)
		2. Examines the strategic plan and the best way to allocate resources to accomplish the plan
		3. Includes members from all constituencies on campus
		4. A forward-looking committee which makes predictions and recommendations to the President
		5. Determines flow of communication to campus community
		6. Scope of RPP is restricted to General fund only
		7. A concern was expressed about the lack of incentives to departments and colleges to be more efficient, and concerns about losing savings to other divisions.
	2. Division of Administration and Finance 2010-11 budget – Vice President Stephens
		1. Good news: CSU received restoration dollars; the complication is that there is also an increase in the student enrollment target
			1. There is a proactive move to recruit students (e.g., recruiting students who were previously denied and those who have applied /enrolled at other universities) and to serve them well.
		2. RPP will meet to determine risks for 2011-12
		3. Board of Trustees will recommend a 5% mid-year fee increase for January 1, and a 10% fee increase for 2011-12 academic year
		4. With the likelihood of stability that is predicted, it is expected that there will be funding for increased tenure-track faculty positions next year and in the future
		5. The Administration & Finance Division includes the following areas:
			1. Financial Management
			2. Staff Human Resources
			3. Police Department
			4. Facilities Planning and Management
			5. Enrollment Services
			6. Administrative/Information Technology
		6. The Division of Administration and Finance will be restoring funds where cuts were planned. A divisional budget will be ready and can be discussed in spring 2011.
		7. The Division will also be examining how to participate in the the high valued degree initiative
	3. Impact of the 2010-11 State budget on CSU and CSULB budgets, and outlook for 2011 – 2012 – President Alexander; Time certain 2:15pm
		1. California has had numerous powerful leaders in the House; if the House turns over to Republican dominance, there will likely be a negative impact for the CSU and higher education.
		2. Can anticipate very little fiscal support at the Federal Level if that happens.
		3. Stimulus money will be depleted, thus, fee increases are very important in off-setting costs.
		4. Much retrenchment predicted at the Federal level; very little legislative support for higher education anticipated in the future.
		5. New Governor may emphasize fiscal responsibility, which in turn may lead to a mid-year budget cut
		6. Likely to enroll 2500-3000 students during Spring 2011
		7. CSU gets far less in appropriations than the UC’s, more similar to the community colleges. We educate the most expensive students, on average, and yet we do not receive the monies to accomplish this task.
		8. Our average units per graduating student are 150 units; we are third highest in the CSU. There are strong efforts being made to reduce this number.
5. Unfinished Business
	1. a. URC charge and composition
		1. Do we want to maintain the broad charge as it is now and prioritize annually, or narrow the charge?
		2. Discussed the possibility of using the last meeting of the year to determine what aspect of the charge we would like to focus on for the next year.
		3. Discussion about URC role (e.g., encouraging transparency; gaining understanding, developing priorities and making recommendations)
	2. Topics and issues for the URC in 2010-11 and formation of subcommittees (no time for discussion)
	3. URC Resolution on timely elections – Second Reading
		1. It was moved and seconded that we remove this item from the agenda; a task force of the Executive Committee of Academic Senate was established by Lisa Vollendorf, which will examine the issue.

--the motion passed unanimously.

1. New Business
	1. 2009-10 Lottery expenditures and 2010-11 Lottery allocations and priorities – AVP Marianne Hata (no time to discuss)
2. Open Discussion
	1. Costs and operations of the University (no time to discuss)

1. Adjourned at 3:00pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Sharon R. Guthrie, Secretary