

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Amended MINUTES

Tuesday, April 17, 2018
2:00 – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

N. Schürer, J. Pandya, D. Stewart, A. Colburn, R. Fischer, R. Frear, E. Guzik, K. Janousek, E. Klink, P. Soni, J. Doering, D. Domingo-Forasté, S. Olson, J. Hamilton, S. Apel, B. Jersky, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

Absent: J. Nino, J. Moran, C. Bowles

1. Called to Order @ 2:04 pm
2. Approved Agenda by unanimous consent
3. Approved Minutes: Meeting of April 10, 2018 by unanimous consent
4. Announcements and Information
 - 4.1. DocuSign can do diacritical signs!
 - 4.2. With reference to prior discussion of the M.S. for athletic training (MSAT), the accrediting agency changed their timeline so does not have to have third tenure track faculty member until 2023. So can fix things in the interim.
 - 4.3. Request from CCPE to give information on fees charged. Waiting for response for GS 700.
 - 4.4. **Council of Chairs: The main topic was the GE Executive Orders [EOs]**—(1) everyone having same structural challenges—timeline to implement and how restrictive to be in applying the EOs; (2) everyone moving ethnic studies and other campus specific requirements into GE classes or major classes. (3) Writing—some turning category E into a writing class. So then they would have both A2 and E categories for writing; (4) some campuses have split up requirements into C1, C2a, and C2b (like CSULB). But the EO is unclear about Cs, although the EO FAQ says campuses may specify. There may be a “clarification” coming from CO.
 - 4.5. Next step in the GE saga at CSULB: a workshop/conference will be scheduled that includes members from the GEGC and CEPC executive cmtes., the Senate exec. cmte., and selected administrators.
 - 4.6. Puhvungna consulting event on 4/26 from 10:00-11:00 AM for AS Exec.
 - 4.7. JCor: SOAR, as Kerry Johnson relates, is working to get more advising time upfront in FYF [First-year frosh] and FYT [First-year transfer] SOARs.
 - 4.8. KJ: Unconscious bias workshop on this Fri—need a couple more people to go.
5. Reminder
 - 5.1. Academic Senate meeting on April 19, 2018, 2:00 – 4:00 pm, PSY 150

6. Special Orders
 - 6.1. Report: Provost Jersky.
 - 6.1.1. Concerning **Puhvungna**: CSULB is custodian of 22 acres of land the use of which is sensitive because of native burials and its connection with indigenous peoples. We are working with native people so CSULB can use the 22 acres in ways that honor the land's traditions and connections and also have some further academic use connected to the academic mission of CSULB. **Senate is invited to meet with the Jones & Jones design team so faculty voices will help the focus on appropriate academic uses.** We want to re-invigorate conversation that began with the reburial of remains during the last year. Johnpaul Jones, designer of the Smithsonian Museum of the American Indian, wants to weave all this together. JP: What about the International House [which is adjacent to one of the burial sites]? SO: Programming takes place there plus there are 80 beds for students. BJ: The architect will be here for two days. ACTION: Send name of Gabriel Estrada, Professor of Indigenous Religions of the Americas, to VP Sathianathan as a possible participant in process.
 - 6.1.2. **Faculty advisory on Technology** FACT will release a report soon.
 - 6.1.3. How much do **people in Long Beach know that there is a local preference for admission?**—It's not a very widely known fact. If true—a major messaging hole.
 - 6.1.4. HVDI, two of the faculty groups presented **research on Mon.**; one on **class scheduling's impact on graduation**; another on **predictive analysis of how students graduate, i.e. the roadmaps followed to graduation.**
 - 6.1.5. The **European Union has new privacy regulations** promulgated that go into effect 5/25/18 but are in direct conflict with US constitution. **Will need to move forward with EU citizens who want to come here with a waiver form** to give up privacy rights while here. EG: what about faculty and staff who are EU citizens?
 - 6.1.6. **Successful result in choosing new alma mater** to be rolled out at commencement. OFFERED ACTION: RoF will send us the music.
 - 6.1.7. Prof. Burkhard Englert, chair of CECS, was in a bad accident but doing better. He has our best wishes.
7. New Business
 - 7.1. Evaluation of finalists for Vice Provost for Academic Programs and Dean of Graduate Studies—TIME CERTAIN 3:30 pm—met in camera to discuss this personnel matter. Recommendations were made and passed on to the search cmte. and Provost.
8. Old Business
 - 8.1. **Follow-up on ASI Resolution SR #2018-17 "Retirement of Prospector Pete and Dissociation from the Gold Rush Era"**—pushed back conversation until now. Why does this issue exist? See resolution [provided with agenda] for lengthy discussion. ASI is asking, in the resolution, (1) to get rid of the statue where it is and move it to an art gallery. The resolution also addresses (2) the new mascot

referendum. (3) ASI would like students on the redesign cmte. that currently includes faculty with Assigned Time now. BJ: What is ASI trying to achieve? NS: There are **two different positions about the future of the Prospector Pete statue: (1) leave the statue in place with contextualization** [as suggested in some places for Confederate statues]; or, **(2) move the statue** [also the result for some Confederate statues], **DDF: How much to move it: SA: Will get the figures;** BJ: or, **(3) do nothing.** JD: We [ASI] are making you aware—this will have some impact next semester [NO ACTION requested at this time]. BJ: Alumni are ignored in this so far. JD: There may be more conflicting, concrete proposals. SUGGESTED ACTIONS: NS: “Get alumni on a new cmte.” JD: “Get students on this cmte.” EG: CFA Assembly passed a resolution: “Get rid of human mascots.”

- 8.2. **Follow-up on CWL/CLSC:** Motion to take this motion from last meeting off the table: “Refer the CWLC proposal, approved by the faculty of CWLC, the CLA Faculty Council, and the CLA Dean to the Provost with the recommendation of the Academic Senate chair.” Untabled by unanimous consent. BJ: Can rewrite Provost’s memo to give assurance that these programs will be treated as if they were depts. and the new policy or policy section on programs, when passed, will be enacted. PS Amendment: “Put ‘Academic Senate Executive cmte.’ in place of ‘chair’” accepted as friendly by mover and seconder. **Amended MOTION: “Refer the CWLC proposal, approved by the faculty of CWLC, the CLA Faculty Council, and the CLA Dean to the Provost with the recommendation of the Academic Senate Executive Cmte.” Motion passed** unanimously. [The CWLC proposal divides the CWLC Department into two stand-alone programs, Comparative World Literature and Classics, and designates appropriate levels of assigned time for program chairs as well as other status guarantees].
- 8.3. **Follow-up on request for guidance for GE advising—ACTION:** several small clarifications were suggested for the advising form to be sent to Duan Jackson.
- 8.4. **Follow-up on plagiarism:** Ryan Fischer, John Hamilton, and Brian Jersky—we already have an online reporting form since last semester [See documents distributed with agenda]. Includes some of the suggestions mentioned two meetings ago already. JCor: **ACTIONS OFFERED** by IVP: Will meet with Patience Bryant to revise some confusing elements. This form just funnels reports into Student Affairs [SA]. Need to think about how to sequence the flow of reporting and come back with recommendations. The current process is to garner information. Faculty can make a report without giving an “F” grade. SA’s task is to deal with the penalty phase. PS: Supports the suggestion to have faculty member report it to dept. chair. JCor: Go to both places instead of just one. Interesting that some faculty report and yet do nothing. On the School of Criminology, etc. form [Document provided with agenda; see bylaw #202], can they really forbid the faculty from not acting if the University policy [AS 08-02] allows that as an option? JCor will ask again for various dept. policies on this. JP: Need greater alignment between DDF form and policy. Also what about the blackhole of recordkeeping about who has plagiarized? EK: a growing and serious problem. I think we need to have a very clear policy. EG: Specifically, add a prohibition of

“double submission” to the policy. DS: Need a feedback loop from SA judicial affairs to faculty member or dept. chair that a “decision was made.” **ACTION: Seek feedback on this policy, 08-02, in the fall.**

9. Adjourned at 4:00 pm