

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
MINUTES**

Tuesday, March 13, 2018
2:00 – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

N. Schürer, J. Pandya, D. Stewart, A. Colburn, R. Fischer, R. Frear, E. Guzik, K. Janousek, E. Klink, P. Soni, , J. Doering, D. Domingo-Forasté, S. Olson, S. Apel, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey
Absent: C. Bowles, B. Jersky, J. Moran, J. Nino
Guests: Gary Griswold

1. Called to Order by unanimous consent
2. Approved Agenda by unanimous consent
3. Approved Minutes: Meeting of March 6, 2018
4. Announcements and Information
 - 4.1. Heating in Senate Conference room is fixed!
 - 4.2. NS: GE survey has gone out.
 - 4.3. Bill submitted, **AB 2408**, that CSUs have a requirement to take ethnic studies course. How will this affect GE?
 - 4.4. GEGC questioned when can have two GE categories in a course. NS left it to their best judgment.
 - 4.5. **GS700**, 25% of fees go to CCPE, 75% to colleges (swept into college budget or divided with depts.) and graduate studies. Invite URC to look into this more? Why does CCPE get 25%? DS: Why not put on stateside as a continuing registration fee.
 - 4.6. Homework for next Tues.: What to put on agenda for 4/5? Discuss policies if they percolate up from the Councils? WSCUC? Discuss proposed changes to nepotism policy coming from Dean's council. RSCA flexibility?
 - 4.7. Tomorrow, 3-14-18, 17 minute student walkout for Stoneman Douglas gun violence.
 - 4.8. **Gun buyback day on campus?** RF: Univ. campus not place to do that. Offered help from Criminology SA to help organize someplace. AC: If do, do it on a Saturday?
5. Reminder
 - 5.1. Academic Senate meeting on April 5, 2018, 2:00 – 4:00 pm, PSY 150
6. Special Orders
 - 6.1. Report: Provost Jersky--not present.
7. New Business

- 7.1. **Legacy Lecture follow-up:** About 75 people there. Chris Warren introduced Marty Fiebert. Great event. NS: Shall we keep this format? Anatol center is a more intimate space. Invite emeriti to come. May need to rewrite the charge. **ACTION:** Chair charge the cmte. to rewrite and send forward to FPPC—Agreed by unanimous consent.
- 7.2. **Revisiting of MSAT proposal:** Gary Griswold, chair, URC—**TIME CERTAIN 2:30 pm.**
- 7.2.1. See handouts for meeting. **Embedded in MSAT is a requirement for a tenure track faculty member. But this has not even been approved/prioritized by the Dept.** But would need this within three years for accreditation. How proceed? Uncomfortable approving it if none will say the hire is a priority. RF: It's a viable program. Keith Freeseemann moved to the Ombuds job and his position in the program was left vacant. [Not relevant because Depts. don't retain rights over vacated lines. Or does he have retreat rights?]. AC: The choices seem to be to reject because of no resources; or accept it as is with a strong statement about the need for resources (Such a statement would be forwarded to the full Senate). JC: Another option: If approved we could still get in 2018-19 catalog—there are ways to do this—and we would not have to wait until 2019-20. **MOTION:** (1) "The Executive Committee of the Academic Senate recommends that the Dean or Provost provide the URC an actual commitment (e.g., "highest priority depending on funding") by email, for this tenure track hire, by Monday 3/19/18. (2) Otherwise, the URC can table this request for the MSAT until receiving notice of an actual funding commitment, or until fall 2018." NS/RF. JP: Leery of this; seems to force Dean's priorities. Problem of UG program losing accreditation if MS approved with no hire. AC: (3) "URC add a statement that if no hire, no accreditation for MS."—(3) failed as amendment. Motion (#s1 &2) passed by unanimous consent. [Update: Dean asked for the MSAT proposal to be tabled].
- 7.2.2. **ACTION: "Task URC with finding out what happens for fees for GS 700."** 25% CCPE. 75% goes back to college. How much money for 5 years? At college level, how distributed. What is the rationale? What services provided (credit card processing and people)? Agreed by unanimous consent.
- 7.3. **Search committee for AVP of Advancement Services & Auxiliary Support—**
ACTION: Chair send request to consider to URC members, 49er board member, etc. and then decide.

8. Old Business

- 8.1. **Discussion of WSCUC process**—see handout for revised charge. What do you want from the steering cmte.? Not doing the work, but umbrella body to divide up the work. What about clarification of meaning of student success" Don Haviland, co-chair of the HVDI Research Taskforce, did not feel their taskforce should define student success—it should be done by a more representative body—He did send on 35 pp. of info. **Revised membership list** to include ten: ALO, Chair AS, two faculty chosen by EC, Provost or designee, Student Affairs VP or designee, President of ASI or designee, two senior admin appointed by

Provost and Vice Provost, one admin and one other manager from SA appointed by VP, (10). There was some discussion about Staff chair or designee. Revisions on charge also included at point #6: “reviewing the President’s/Provost’s changes prior to submission ...” The draft WSCUC resolution will come back for another look.

- 8.2. Memo on use of EO 1100 in GEGC—discussed above.
9. Adjourned at 3:57 pm.