

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
AMENDED MINUTES**

Tuesday, February 6, 2018
2:00 – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

Present: N. Schürer, J. Pandya, D. Stewart, A. Colburn, R. Frear, E. Guzik, K. Janousek, E. Klink, J. Doering, D. Domingo-Forasté, S. Olson, S. Apel, B. Jersky, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey; Guest: A. Jaffe
Absent: R. Fischer, P. Soni, J. Nino, J. Moran, C. Bowles

1. Called to Order
2. Approved Agenda—postpone 7.5 to next meeting
3. Approved Minutes: Meeting of January 30, 2018
4. Announcements and Information
 - 4.1. Remembrance of David Hood and Arlene Lazarowitz in Anatol at 4:00 PM on 2/6/18.
 - 4.2. Question raised about Assessment in “Mastery Learning”?
 - 4.3. Process of selecting staff to sit on administrator hiring cmtes. Apel addressed the question of Staff Council appointing members to search cmtes.
 - 4.4. Marty Fiebert has a schedule conflict with Legacy Lecture. Reschedule?
 - 4.5. Full professor (passed first ETF) nominations for a special financial award due Monday. \$500K set aside for 50 successful nominees?
5. Reminder
 - 5.1. Academic Senate meeting on February 15, 2018, 2:00 – 4:00 pm, PSY 150
6. Special Orders
 - 6.1. Report: Provost Jersky—3:00—see budget presentation notes below.
 - 6.1.1. Looking to fill the search cmte. for AVP of Faculty Affairs by 2/19/18.
 - 6.1.2.
7. New Business
 - 7.1. Agenda for Senate meeting on February 15—see handout
 - 7.2. Interpretation of Policy on Departmentalization Procedures (AS 95-19): A. Jaffe—TIME CERTAIN 2:30 pm—see handout--Requests that EC act on her proposals labelled “a, b, and c” on the handout. JCor: AS 95-19 has been used in the past to guide d/evolution of programs and for the creation of any academic unit. Since the UPRC is finishing its report on CWLC eventually an MOU will be produced to govern changes for CWLC.

- 7.2.1. MOTION (AC/JP): “[based on “a”] Refer to CEPC AS 95-19 for revision and the development of a new policy on programs that includes definitions of program types and identifies a specific process for program formation and dissolution”; and [based on “c”] “Recommend to the Provost, as an interpretation AS 95-19, to allow the CLA Faculty Council to conduct a vote on the new proposal to form two stand-alone programs [CLSC and CWL] in both the Department and at the Council level. The votes should disaggregate the issues (1) to split the department and (2) form two new programs. If the Dept. level vote does not give clear evidence that a majority of CLSC faculty agree to split the Department and form a new program, then a follow-up ballot should be conducted for those faculty alone.” It was understood that this was to be accomplished in a timely manner so that the process was completed before term end. ACTION: The Chair will send a letter to the Provost to this effect.
 - 7.2.2. It was also agreed that the question of how the programs might be guaranteed treatment as quasi-departments with their funding intact should be deferred to further discussion as it was considered a decanal matter (item “b”).
 - 7.3. Presentation on CSULB budget: B. Jersky and S. Apel—TIME CERTAIN 3:00 pm. Some suggestions were made for polishing the .ppt. Some interesting points
 - 7.3.1. There has been a drop in revenue from fees because of our success in graduating students. The University is not being fully funded by CO or Governor’s budget for compensation increases negotiated with the CFA. Thus there is a “base budget” shortfall. Have used some on-time money to cover this, but still anticipate a \$1.8MM shortfall carried forward. Fee shortfall could increase this deficit to \$3MM. In addition 2018-19 has not been fully projected because of unsure appropriations. Overall shortfall could range from \$3MM to \$5MM. Apel will identify a range of a possible shortfalls for future presentations until the precise shortfall is identified.
 - 7.3.2. In 1980, CSULB was funded 93% by state and 7% by fees. In 2017-18, 55% by state and 45% by fees. State funding is partially generated by FTES and headcount. So drops in headcount of students affect us.
 - 7.3.3. A likely approach to this problem (beyond seeking more state appropriations) is to make “strategic” budget reductions (as opposed to a constant percentage applied to all departments). A base budget cut could be somewhere between 1%-5%. However, we don’t want to set different sectors of the University against each other. EK: the most affected things will be capital investments (new buildings, etc.) and deferred maintenance as per report at ASCSU.
 - 7.4. Beach Pathways faculty readers—These read 100-200 admission applications in March from students lower on the eligibility index. How do we get the one-two faculty needed? Try current Faculty Learning Communities in FCPD, emeriti, etc.
 - 7.5. Future of student Academic Senators—postponed to next EC.
8. Old Business
 - 8.1. Interpretation of Policy on Requirements for Master’s Degrees (AS 14-01)-deferred.

9. Adjournment