**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING**

**MINUTES**

Tuesday, March 14, 2017

2:00 – 3:00 pm

Academic Senate Conference Room (AS-125)

N. Schürer, J. Pandya, D. Stewart, C. Brazier, R. Fischer, D. Hamm, T. Yamada, D. HoodP. Soni , D. Domingo-Forasté, S. Olson, B. Jersky, M. Stephens, A. Montes

Guest: S. Musman (for M. Flores)

Absent: J. Moran, M. Flores, C. Lindsay, E. Klink

1. Called to Order
2. Approved Agenda
3. Approved amended minutes: Meeting of March 7, 2017; “year end” 🡪 “year-round”; “$300K per taskforce” 🡪 “$300K total [to split between HVDI taskforces].”
4. Announcements and Information
   1. Meeting with Finalist #2 for Dean of College of Natural Sciences and Math, Tuesday, 3/14, 3:00-3:45 pm (during Exec meeting), AS 125
   2. Meeting with Candidate #1 for Associate Vice President for Undergraduate Studies, Thursday, 3/16, 11:15 am-12:15 pm, AS 125
   3. Meeting with Candidate #2 for Associate Vice President for Undergraduate Studies, Tuesday, 3/21, 9:00-10:00 am, AS 125
   4. Meeting with Candidate #3 for Dean of College of Natural Sciences and Math, Tuesday, 3/21, 1:00-1:45 pm, AS 125
   5. Meeting with Candidate #4 for Associate Vice President for Undergraduate Studies, Thursday, 3/23, 9:00-10:00 am, AS 125
   6. Meeting with Candidate #1 for Associate Vice President for Undergraduate Studies, Monday, 4/3, 10:00-11:00 am, AS 125
5. Reminder
   1. Academic Senate meeting on March 16, 2017, 2:00 – 4:00pm, PSY-150
   2. 4/4/17 Tuesday; Jessica Pandya will chair the EC meeting.
   3. Religious holiday notes from DS: (1) check UMinn website for excellent list: <https://diversity.umn.edu/eoaa/religiousholidaycalendar> ; (2) note difference between major/minor holidays; (3) note that Native American holidays vary by community or individual needs; (4) feel free to consult with our experts in Religious Studies.
   4. Are rules needed for discussing “Trump” in class? Criticism different from critical thinking? One faculty member had a public records search done on her e-mails to look for mentions of Trump. Resolution needed? Policy? Civility statement of Senate included in campus regulations—is this enough or does it need some emphasis?
6. Special Orders
   1. Report: Provost Jersky: CSUFresno incident where the use of a computer brought things to light [about public/private use of email and computers]: rolling 6 months of emails are tracked/saved (updated each day) by CSULB; use of private devices: if there’s a mention of CSULB work, they are then subject to public domain information requests. Issue of the cloud [retaining email longer than 6 months]? ACTION: Do not do University work on a private email account—also subject to public information search. ACTION: have CIO forward appropriate technical language to university in an announcement. ACTION: Do not sign petitions with csulb email—must use private email.
   2. M. Argame (student) suggested to Provost to hold an academic fair for lesser known majors—thought it a good idea.
   3. We have a Center for first amendment rights—Kevin Johnson is chair.
   4. “Foresight training”? 3-4 Academic Senate (Exec) members in August to be trained. Will need to wait until May senate elections to see who is on the 2017-18 exec cmte.
   5. Piloting in fall online-RTP process with a “smallish college.” After that, other colleges will do online RTP. [Following spring for Minis? Or, fall 2018?] Online RTP process already used on nine other CSU campuses.
   6. BUILD [evaluation] visit with team from UCLA got a “well-done.”
   7. Did you see Conoley’s pyramid of well-being [in message to campus]?
   8. Change in “ID” policy for shuttles and library to discourage undesirable behaviors by riders will begin.
7. New Business
   1. EO 1100 General Education Breadth Requirement campus input—important. Respond by 5/12 [now 6/15]. See online dox. Students should do this! See esp. p.18, art. 6.2 to get a sense of how this may change things. ASCSU is also working on this. Note the national trend to reduce GE and be pushed into “pathways.”
      1. BJ: But this may not be the case. We could separate GE from funding and decide locally how we would do it. Do it in 6-8 tracks rather than 711 courses? SO: Appeals for rationalizing GE in relationship to GE goals. BJ: Do do an all-campus response but not a fully-fledged plan. DS: This may not be ignored. TY: Get Faculty Councils involved; hold an open forum to collect comments. CB: But don’t silo it. Get GEGC and CEPC involved and response from Senate floor. JP: Different groups have different expertise. SM (student member): would prefer to take GE in something I’m interested. JP: Proposals for Teagle Foundation grants to help planning? DS: Have two [now three] months to respond to EO 1100. RF: Maybe less demanded here than we think? TY: Respectfully no. ACTION: Chair will bring it to the attention of the appropriate Councils.
8. Old Business
   1. CBA 20.37—62 applications: score at 2 or 1 or 0. Two subcmtes of 3 people each, one of which reads 1-31 and the other 32-62; the chair reads all. One person wrote two (gaming the system). Spend one hour next week to discuss. Can only choose 21. Will send exact language of CBA. Compensation for “cultural taxation” was the original idea of this but probably not actually reflected in proposals. Stick with CBA language as guide. Usually top third and bottom third are usually very obvious and middle difficult. However, may only be able to fund top third anyway. If this program is renewed in the new contract, we would want to delegate policy-making about this to an appropriate cmte.
   2. Resolution on undocumented students—no action
   3. Ethnic Studies survey results—no action
   4. Coffee with a Cop—coming up!
   5. Committee list—no action
   6. CIO on Academic Senate—no action
   7. Status of Student Senators on the Academic Senate vis-à-vis ASI—no action.
9. Adjourned at 3:06 PM. Voting members then met *in camera* for a personnel matter—a candidate interview.