

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**Minutes**

Tuesday, October 30, 2018  
2:00 – 4:00 pm  
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

N. Schürer, J. Pandya, J. Jarvis, C. Brazier, E. Guzik, N. Hultgren, N. Meyer-Adams, K. Janousek, E. Klink, P. Soni, E. Lopez, D. Domingo-Forasté, K. Bonetati, S. Olson, J. Hamilton, B. Jersky, J. Cormack, A. Kinsey

1. Call to Order- 2:03 pm
2. Approval of Agenda - addition of 7.4- University 111, approved as amended
3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of October 23, 2018- approved as amended by BJ
4. Announcements and Information- *The Abandoned Mission* author confirmed his visit to campus on the date requested. *The Slow Professor* authors are unable to attend due to busy speaking itinerary. Entire \$5,000 monetary gift will not be used so we will contact other authors to visit. This year, the Legacy Lecture will be replaced by these AS Lectures. Suggestion to use some of the monetary gift to purchase copies of the featured book for the library. NS met with Min Yao regarding IT issues on the campus. NS reminded EC about the November 1<sup>st</sup> AS meeting.
5. Reminder
  - 5.1. Academic Senate meeting Thursday, November 1, 2018, 2:00 – 4:00 pm, PSY 150
6. Special Orders
  - 6.1. Report: Provost Jersky- request from BJ about NCUR visit on campus 2021. We will work with sister campuses in the region regarding faculty research. Webinar yesterday on the issue of registering for the November online event upcoming. CSU trustee Wenda Fong visited campus yesterday and was impressed with our students, President, and campus. \$300M requested for deferred maintenance. NS asked who determines Trustee schedule. Two CSULB colleges going through accreditation - Engineering and Business. BJ met with ASI and 3 issues arose: students want to move forward with digital course evaluations, scheduling concerns for Fridays and weekends, and GEAR issues/questions. Digital evaluations moving to ASI senate. Student issues concern when the GEAR/GPE scheduling and rigor. Pilot version of digital course evaluations happening this semester, the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of this pilot program. “Turning Point” meeting went fine last week with no issues/injuries. Neither the group or the protesters consisted of students or faculty – all outsiders. Meeting available on YouTube for

viewing if desired. BJ reports CSULB ranked #87 (out of 1000) on the 2019 WalletHub College Rankings for top performing colleges with lowest cost.

7. New Business

- 7.1. Retreat debrief- comments- location preferred by most, more staff than usual attended. Improvements solicited; *Kahoot* was a success. Writings from tables to be prepared and distributed to EC.
- 7.2. Status of GSSI (Graduate Student Success Initiative) – is in a strange liminal state and does not have a representative structure like HVDI does; current committee membership entails faculty, chair of AS, AD's, AVP, Dean's, Executives, and no students on current board. JC states student participation desired. High impact practices for graduate students discussed, retention, and completion looked at. Need to change charge? This is the 3<sup>rd</sup> year of the group; may need to become Senate committee. Current members were appointed, not elected. DDF asked if there was graduate representation on ASI - there is not. Previously there was a Graduate Studies Council. Ask GSSI about making a new charge, or EC can discuss new charge and composition. EC recommends that GSSI come up with a new charge and membership composition.
- 7.3. Discussion of *summa cum laude* distinction
- 7.4. University 111- "Paideia" course; David Stewart on task force of "Reimagining the First Year" new plan. Memorandum to GEGC do not look at new courses; so how to proceed? Would EC be willing to waive the memo so course can be approved and move forward? Pilot course needs to go forward, to be a category "E" course. Does not go through college CEPC, straight to GEGC, CEPC. First year policy is intact. Similar to prior University 100 but more robust. Can be a variable unit course. Motion and second to waive memo. DP concerned about this and the routing of the approval of the course. EC recommends waiving memo and sending this to CEPC. The reason for the course is to introduce students to the culture here, to encourage retention of students. No decision reached before adjournment.
- 7.5. Discussion of Wang Family Excellence Awards—elected members of Executive Committee only: TIME CERTAIN 3:00 pm. Discussion ensued until 3:34 pm.

8. Old Business

- 8.1. Technological changes at CSULB
- 8.2. Tenets of shared governance document
- 8.3. Call to Action for Beach 2030

9. Adjournment – full EC meeting adjourned at 3:05 pm