1. The meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m. with Gregory, D. Hood, M. Hood, Meyer, Montanari, Peter, Shifflett, Thobaben and Warscharer present.

2. The agenda was approved as amended to include discussions of the Tentative Agreement, administrative bloat in CSU, and ACR 73.

3. The minutes of Meeting # 4 of January 23-24, 2002 were approved as amended.

4. D. Hood announced that FAC needs a representative to the committee on ACR 73. Thobaben volunteered and D. Hood said he would pass along her name to Chair Kegley.

5. Liaison and Committee Reports

5.1 Roth reported that Chancellor’s Office staff were, in general, ‘jubilant’ about the tentative agreement between CSU and CFA, that there are new reports on ACR 73; staffing changes and program expenditures from 1995-2000; the workload report. Asked who would represent the Chancellor’s Office at meetings of the ACR 73 committee, she said McClain and Hammerstrom would do so; about the purpose of the committee, she referred the committee to a letter from Spence to AS Chair Kegley. She also reported that a memo about dropping requirements that faculty submit information about accountability indicators 11 and 12 had been sent to campus provosts.

5.2 Vice Chancellor McClain indicated satisfaction with the Tentative Agreement, spoke about working cooperatively with CFA on implementation of the new language, and responded to questions.

5.3 CFA President Meisenhelder reviewed the new provisions of the Tentative Agreement between CSU and CFA and responded to questions about department chair compensation, YRO, and the three-year contracts for lecturers.

5.4 Meyer reported that the faculty flow committee had met, tried to convert lists to text, and discussed the survey; he said he hoped for a preliminary report by May 2002, a final report in December 2002.

5.5 Montanari reported that the Department Chair Task Force had not met.

5.6 Gregory submitted AS chair Kegley’s written summary of the Workload Task Force meeting on December 2, 2001 in lieu of her own.

5.7 Meyer reported that the committee on off-campus centers has not yet met in 2002.
6. Old Business

6.1 **Search, Selection and Review of Systemwide Administrators**
Shiflett said that she felt there should be a joint committee for a) consultation from the first step of the process, b) providing focus in the discussions of search, selection and review of systemwide administrators. She noted that it is good principle to review policies especially if they are done by those affected. Discussion centered on which systemwide administrators should be included, the meaning of ‘systemwide administrator,’ the role of faculty in providing input to Board of Trustees policy reviews, whether searches and reviews are to be seen in a single focus, how to deal with reviews of campus presidents, possible responses of the BoT. The draft document was reviewed and submitted to the Plenary Session.

6.2 **YRO: Senate Principles and Campus Implementation:** Montanari discussed what he had done to date and asked for guidance about how best to proceed in presenting the responses to the survey of faculty and administrators about implementation of YRO.

7. New Business

7.1 **Review of Administrators on the Campuses of the CSU**
Draft language on a resolution about search and review of statewide CSU administrators was debated, revised, and submitted to the Plenary Session.

7.2 A resolution on the use of Teaching Associates, generated by recent changes in CSU policy language on the uses of graduate students as teaching associates and teaching assistants was discussed, revised, and submitted to the Plenary Session.

7.3 A resolution commending the CSU and CFA for the tentative agreement was formulated and presented to the Plenary Session.

8. The meeting recessed at 4:30 p.m., reconvened at 8:30 a.m., and adjourned at 10:15 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jan Gregory