Investigation of Current Percolation Characteristics in Coated Conductors


Abstract—The IBAD and RABiTS coated conductors are investigated by variable temperature scanning laser microscopy (VTSLM). In 50 µm scanning step images, IBAD samples have more uniform current distribution than RABiTS samples, which is related to more uniform current flow and the smaller grain sizes in IBAD. The percolation cluster sizes are estimated to be 40 - 150 µm in IBAD and 50 - 150 µm in RABiTS. In the transition region, the current percolation is due to the combination of the grain boundary network and the critical temperature variation. At the temperature below the critical temperature, the images of VTSLM show that the current bottleneck area causes the major local dissipation limiting Ic. We also find that the dissipation areas have lower Tc and high ∆Vm, an important characteristic shared among all the lower Jc areas.

Index Terms—YBCO coated conductor, IBAD, RABiTS, Percolation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent progress in the manufacture technology of the second-generation high temperature superconducting cable, YBCO coated conductors (CCs), has made the high electrical power application closer to the reality [1-4]. The critical current density (Jc) in CCs can approach that in single crystalline films. The higher Jc in CCs results from the better crystalline texture of YBCO. The texture of YBCO in CCs is initially created by ion-beam assisted deposition (IBAD) of an underlying buffer layer [5, 6], rolling assisted biaxially textured substrate (RABiTS) [7], and incline substrate deposition (ISD) [8] techniques. In the CCs, the crystalline texture reflects the variation of the grain boundary angles in YBCO film. As we know, the Jc is related to the grain boundary angles [9,10]. The higher the Jc is, the lower the grain boundary angles are. Due to the grain boundaries, the transport current is forced to flow across the sample by percolation [11,12].

In this paper, we use variable temperature scanning laser microscopy (VTSLM) to investigate the percolation characteristics in IBAD and RABiTS CCs. We have estimated the size and the shape of percolation clusters from the both CCs. The percolation is formed from the combination of the variation in Tc and grain boundary angles. We have compared images in the superconducting states and the transition regions to find a relationship between the images.

II. EXPERIMENT

IBAD and RABiTS samples are prepared for four-probe transport measurements by depositing gold contacts. The platinum wires are soldered on the gold contacts by indium. The ac voltage data from the laser disturbance modulated by mechanical chopper are acquired by a lock-in technique. The temperature of the samples is controlled by a temperature controller in a cryostate. Detailed information about VTSLM can be found in our earlier publications [13,14].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig.1 (a) is the temperature dependence of resistance in IBAD #1. Tc (R = 0 Ω) is 90.6 K, and the transition width ∆T is about 1.3 K. Fig.1 (b) is the temperature dependence of resistance in RABiTS #1. Tc (R = 0 Ω) is 90.3 K, and the transition width ∆T is about 1.3 K.

We have obtained VTSLM images Fig.2 (a) and Fig.2 (b) from IBAD #1 and RABiTS #1, respectively, when a 50 µm scanning step is employed at the middle of the resistive transition where we expect to get the largest signal (∆V). In VTSLM images, ∆V is related to Tc and the local current density [14]. At the first glance, the signal ∆V represented with black and white is quite uniform in IBAD #1. This result indicates that the flow of the current is uniform and no significant variation of Tc exists in IBAD #1. Compared with IBAD #1, ∆V in RABiTS #1 is quite inhomogeneous. Such differences are consistently observed between IBAD and RABiTS samples.

We think that this difference is related to the grain sizes and the current percolation paths. Usually, the grain size of IBAD CCs is much smaller than that of RABiTS CCs which are as large as 100 µm [11]. Since the current flows percolatively via the paths determined by the grain boundary network in CCs, the feature size of the percolation path is related to that of the grain boundary network. Hence, IBAD is expected to have smaller feature size due to the percolation than RABiTS. Since Fig. 2 is taken with 50 µm scanning step, the step size is the limiting factor for the image resolution.
In order to investigate further, we have used smaller scanning steps to image IBAD and RABiTS CCs. As shown in Fig. 3 (a) and (c), the 3 \( \mu \)m scanning step images reveal the features not observed in the 50 \( \mu \)m images. While in RABiTS, the smaller scanning step images in Fig. 3(b) and (d) give higher resolution images but do not reveal any new features. We estimate that the percolation feature size of IBAD samples is about 40 – 150 \( \mu \)m, and that of RABiTS sample is about 50 – 150 \( \mu \)m; surprisingly they are in the same range. Since the feature sizes are similar, we have concluded that the main difference between IBAD and RABiTS is actually the variation of signals across the features. In IBAD, the signal does not change much across a feature while the change is quite large in RABiTS. We believe that the percolation features in RABiTS are related to the actual grain boundaries since the grain size of RABiTS is in the same order of magnitude; while the features in IBAD are formed from a collection of grain boundaries. Hence there is larger variation of \( \delta V \) in RABiTS across the VTSLM features than in IBAD where \( \delta V \) is averaged over many grains.

In Fig. 3, we find that the features have mainly two different shapes. One is more round, while the other is elongated to one direction. Since the features in VTSLM images are due to current percolation, the shape is related to percolation paths, i.e. the grain boundary network. We find that the long axis of the elongated shapes is preferentially aligned along the current direction, which agrees with the expectation from the percolation as well as the expectation based on our computer simulation.

Even with grain boundaries, inhomogeneous \( T_c \), and current percolations, many groups with different fabrication techniques have produced CCs with \( J_c > 10^6 \) A/cm\(^2\) signifying the importance of the macroscopic defects rather than the microscopic differences among CCs. Hence, we have begun to look for the macroscopic features limiting \( I_c \) in CCs and the diagnostic characteristics at higher temperature for the low \( J_c \) areas. Detailed data analysis is underway in order to understand how \( T_c^* \) and \( \delta V_m \) images are related to the current percolation and the current bottleneck in CCs. So far our study of various CCs has revealed that the area with large \( \delta V_m \) and lower \( T_c^* \) starts to have dissipation at lower current in the superconducting state, hence limiting the current carrying capability [15]. We think that the \( T_c^* \) in that area is reduced.

Fig. 3. The temperature dependence of resistance from (a) IBAD #1 and (b) RABiTS #1.

Fig. 2. VTSLM images at the middle of superconducting transition taken with 50 \( \mu \)m scanning step. (a) IBAD #1 at 91.4 K, (b) RABiTS #1 at 91.2 K. The arrows represent the edges of the samples.

Fig. 4 shows the \( T_c^* \) and \( \delta V_m \) images of IBAD #1. In general, the variation of \( T_c^* \) is proportional to that of \( T_c \) (\( R = 0 \) \( \Omega \)), the \( \delta V_m \) reflects the distribution of transport current [14]. Even though it is small (< 0.4 K), some variation in \( T_c^* \) is observed. We have observed the variation in \( T_c^* \) from most of the YBCO films and CCs [13-15] confirming the difficulty of producing a homogeneous YBCO layer. The variation of \( T_c^* \) will be an additional factor to determine the percolation paths in CCs.
because the locally increased current in the percolation path causes the resistive transition to shift to lower temperatures. It is interesting to point out that the areas with large $\delta V_m$ but no reduced $T_c^*$ do not appear to limit $I_c$. Hence, the large $\delta V_m$ and lower $T_c^*$ area can be interpreted as the bottleneck area where the current crowding occurs.

As we discussed earlier, the VTSLM features in IBAD are generated by the percolation clusters and not by the individual grains. In order to investigate the relation between VTSLM features and the superconducting current transport in superconducting states, we have also studied IBAD in the superconducting temperature. Fig. 5 is the images of the IBAD #4 at different temperatures and bias currents. Two distinct areas are visible in the superconducting states shown in Fig. 5 (a) and (b), a line-shaped area in the middle of the sample and two strong $\delta V$ areas in the right side of the sample. These images are taken at 90.0 K which is 0.6 K lower than $T_c$ ($R = 0 \Omega$). In the superconducting state, $\delta V$ usually indicates dissipation [16-18]. We calculate $T_c^*$ and $\delta V_m$ (not showing here) from 50 $\mu$m step images, and find that the strong signal areas of the right side have lower $T_c^*$ and strong $\delta V_m$ supporting our earlier statement. However, the line-shaped...
dissipation in the middle is not distinctly noticeable in $T_c^*$ and $\delta V_m$.

Fig. 5(c) shows an image obtained at a transition temperature. The signal in the right side of sample is strong, as expected from the comparison of $J_{c\star}$ vs. $T_c^*$ and $\delta V_m$. In addition, one can find the line-shaped feature where the dissipation occurred in Fig. 5 (a) and (b). We have checked the surface of the sample using optical microscopy, and found that there are some scratches in those positions. Further VTSLM measurements of the line-shaped dissipation area with a smaller step show (not shown) that there are a series of intermittent scratches across the sample and they are forcing the current to flow around them. In the high-resolution images, we find that the low $J_{c\star}$ areas actually have low $T_c^*$ and high $\delta V_m$ even in the line-shaped dissipating area.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, some IBAD and RABiTS coated conductors are studied by VTSLM. The images taken in the transition region using 50 $\mu$m scanning steps show that the IBAD samples look more uniform than the RABiTS samples. This means that the current flows more uniformly in the IBAD samples than in the RABiTS samples. The feature size of the IBAD samples is estimated to be 40 – 150 $\mu$m, while that of the RABiTS samples is 50 – 150 $\mu$m. Since the features in VTSLM are caused by current density variation, we believe that the feature size is related to the percolation cluster sizes of the samples. We have established a relationship between the local dissipation in the superconducting state and the VTSLM images in the transition region; the low $J_{c\star}$ areas have low $T_c^*$ and high $\delta V_m$, which is due the current crowding and the existence of current bottlenecks.
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