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I. DEPARTMENT OF MARKETING RTP POLICY

A. Preamble. The Department of Marketing (“Department”) views the Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion (“RTP”) policies from all three levels of evaluation (the individual department, the College of Business Administration (“College”), and the University) as a single interlocking continuous RTP policy, varying only in the level of specificity of standards and guidelines to be used in evaluating a Candidate for reappointment, tenure and promotion (“Candidate”). All parties shall refer to these three policies for a full understanding of the philosophy, intent, and specific RTP standards. In this Department RTP policy, portions of the University RTP policies that are critical for clarity and emphasis are inserted and italicized. Portions of the University policy not inserted are referenced by the section number used in the original University document. Furthermore, portions of the Department RTP policies that are substantially different from the College RTP policies are underlined.

(PS 09-10) 1.0 GUIDING PRINCIPLES

1.1 University Mission and Vision
California State University, Long Beach is a diverse, student-centered, globally-engaged public university committed to providing highly-valued undergraduate and graduate educational opportunities through superior teaching; research, scholarly and creative activities (RSCA); and service for the people of California and the world. CSULB envisions changing lives by expanding educational opportunities, championing creativity, and preparing leaders for a changing world.

1.2 Guiding Principles of Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion

1.2.1 A faculty dedicated to excellence in teaching, scholarship, creativity, and service is essential to accomplishing the university’s articulated mission and vision. CSULB faculty members integrate the results of their RSCA into their teaching, thereby invigorating and enhancing student learning. Faculty members are expected to make significant and ongoing contributions to the department, college, university, community, and the profession.

1.2.2 Decisions regarding reappointment, tenure, and promotion (RTP) are among the most important made by our university community. RTP decisions must be clear, fair, and unbiased at all levels of review. Faculty achievements may vary from those of colleagues yet still meet the standards for reappointment, tenure, or promotion. The RTP process must ensure that excellence will be rewarded and that faculty members who meet department, college, and university standards and expectations will have an opportunity for advancement.

1.2.3 Faculty members shall be evaluated on the quality of their achievements and the impact of their contributions over the period of review in: 1) instruction and instructionally-related activities; 2) RSCA; 3) service and engagement at the university, in the community, and in the profession. All faculty members will be evaluated on the basis of all three areas.

1.2.4 This policy should not be construed to prevent innovation or adjustment in workload (with respect to teaching, RSCA, or service) based upon faculty expertise and accomplishment; department and college needs; and university mission.
2.0 RTP AREAS OF EVALUATION

Colleges, departments, and other academic units are responsible for defining the standards of excellence and accompanying criteria for reappointment, tenure, and promotion in their various disciplines, consistent with the mission and needs of the university. RTP standards and criteria shall articulate expectations for faculty accomplishments in all three areas of evaluation: 1) instruction and instructionally-related activities; 2) RSCA; and 3) service and engagement at the university, in the community, and in the profession.

B. Commitment and Purpose. The Department of Marketing is committed to providing an instructional program of high quality for all of its students. A strong faculty dedicated to excellence and who continue to grow professionally throughout their careers is necessary to fulfill that commitment. The broad purpose of this policy is to encourage the Candidate to develop a culture that values all aspects of active involvement in the CBA and the University, consistent with the College and University missions.

C. RTP Guidelines. University, College, and department RTP policies are to be used as guidelines by RTP candidates and their mentors in assessing progress through the various stages of the RTP process. They are also to be used by RTP committees in evaluating candidates. Consequently, the policies define the standards by which RTP candidates will be evaluated. The policies specify the level of performance which is expected for a positive recommendation at each step of the RTP process. The quality and on-going nature of a candidate’s performance are the most important elements to consider in evaluating individual achievement.

D. Narrative Requirements. In order to present their achievements in the most coherent intellectual and professional context, Candidates are required to present a written narrative with supporting materials describing the extent and quality of their work in each of the categories to be evaluated: Instruction and Instructionally-related activity, RSCA, and Service. Specifically, in their narrative, Candidates must demonstrate the value and quality of their contributions in each of these three areas. It is important that candidates present and summarize their research contributions in sufficient detail so that reviewers in other fields can assess the true contribution and value of their efforts. The narrative also should serve as a guide to reviewers in understanding the faculty member’s professional goals and values as they relate to the mission of the department, the College, and the University. All supporting materials should be referenced and clearly explained.

II. INSTRUCTION AND INSTRUCTIONALLY-RELATED ACTIVITIES

(PS 09-10) 2.1 Instruction and Instructionally-Related Activities

Faculty members are expected to demonstrate that they are effective teachers. Instruction and instructionally-related activities include teaching and fostering learning inside and outside the traditional classroom. Instructionally-related activities include, but are not limited to, curriculum development, academic and departmental advising, supervision of student research and fieldwork, direction of student performances and exhibitions, and related activities involving student learning and student engagement. Additional instructional activities may include, but are not limited to, student mentoring, study abroad, and thesis and project supervision.


2.1.1 Instructional Philosophy and Practice
Effective teaching requires that faculty members reflect on their teaching practices and assess their impact on student learning. Thoughtful, deliberate efforts to improve instructional effectiveness, which may result in adopting new teaching methodologies, are expected of all faculty members. Effective teaching also requires that faculty members engage in professional development activities associated with classroom and non-classroom assignments. Teaching methods should be consistent with course/curriculum goals and should accommodate student differences.

2.1.2 Student Learning Outcomes
Effective teaching requires that faculty members provide evidence of student learning. Instructional practices and course materials should clearly convey to students expected student outcomes and learning goals. Assessment methods should align with instructional practices.

2.1.3 Student Response to Instruction
In addition to evidence of teaching effectiveness as defined by department and college RTP policy documents, student course evaluations shall be used to evaluate student response to instruction. Student course evaluations alone do not provide sufficient evidence of teaching effectiveness. Utilization of the university standard evaluation form is only one method of presenting student response to learning and teaching effectiveness. Importantly, any single item on this form—or the entire form, by itself and in isolation from other information—does not provide sufficient evidence of teaching effectiveness.

A. An Instructor in the Department of Marketing. In addition to providing excellent classroom and classroom-related instruction, a Candidate in the Department of Marketing is expected to be a scholarly role model and to provide ethical leadership and advising to undergraduate and graduate students. He or she should strive to excel in the classroom, maintain instructional relevance and currency, strive to attain high evaluations while ensuring that students reach course learning goals and garner peer respect. Candidates should strive to continuously improve instruction and to implement pedagogies that engage students and provide meaningful learning experiences.

B. Instruction in the Department of Marketing. Due to the diversity of the subject matter within the various functional areas of business, Department faculty employ a variety of teaching pedagogies including small and large lectures, discussions and presentations, teams and project groups, case studies, computer-based approaches and combination formats. The instructional methods employed should be appropriate for the course taught, and materials should be up-to-date and relevant to the course. Upper division and graduate courses must contain substantial writing components. This can be accomplished in a variety of ways depending on the specific course. Examples include essay exams, case studies, and written projects.

Consistent with University teaching philosophy, Candidates are encouraged to develop new approaches to teaching, where appropriate. Evaluation of new approaches to teaching shall recognize the experimental nature of the pedagogy. In addition, favorable consideration shall be given by reviewers when the pedagogy is carefully planned and thoughtfully implemented.
C. Course Design, Communication, and Grading Policy. Regardless of the specific course being offered or the specific pedagogy employed, the course syllabus and other course documents must clearly and unambiguously communicate the essential aspects of the course to students. These essential aspects include the learning objectives of the course, how attainment of those objectives will be measured, what instructional methods will be employed, and how those methods help accomplish the learning objectives. Also included should be instructor expectations with respect to student attendance, participation, and involvement in all aspects of the course and the grading policy that will be followed, including the specific standards and criteria used to assign final course grades. Course materials should also identify the purposes for which a course is useful to students.

1. A Candidate’s syllabus and other course documents should meet Department, College, and University standards and policies. Candidates are urged to consult with Department Chair and/or other senior colleagues with respect to Department’s standards regarding syllabi and other course documents.

2. The grading practices of a Candidate must be consistent with those of the department and the College. Candidates are urged to consult with the department chair and/or other senior colleagues with respect to the department’s grading standards. The grade point average of the course taught is expected to be in line with that of other sections of the course and, when appropriate, other courses at the same level. In instances where GPA’s may not be consistent with these guidelines, it is incumbent on the Candidate to specify in his or her narrative the reasons for any differences. In all cases, the most important criterion for evaluation of a Candidate is the quality of teaching and the achievement of course learning objectives by students.

3. A Candidate should cover all the learning objectives included in the Standard Course Outline (SCO) developed for that course where available.

D. Student Response to Instruction. Candidates must meet the Department’s requirement regarding the number of courses evaluated using the University student evaluation system. Candidates are encouraged to provide an interpretation of evaluation scores as appropriate.

1. In the Department, student evaluations of instructors shall initially be interpreted on a comparable course basis. Following careful comparison at the appropriate level, general comparisons should then be made across different course types and levels. In addition to considering the performance levels in various courses, student evaluations of a Candidate’s teaching performance shall be considered with respect to the trend of performance over time. All items on the student evaluation forms shall be considered.

2. The Department expects that Candidate’s student evaluation scores are at least within an acceptable range of the Department means in recent years for comparable courses, by course content and course level.
E. Other Instructionally-Related Activities. In addition to the design and delivery of classroom courses, Candidates will also be evaluated on other instructionally-related activities that take place outside the traditional classroom. These activities should be clearly presented and discussed in the Candidate’s narrative.

1. Efforts to produce continuous improvement in teaching effectiveness are expected of faculty. This improvement should be described in the candidate’s narrative and supported by exemplary materials. These actions may include:

(a) Regular interactions with colleagues regarding various pedagogical issues, classroom visits, and consultation on course development.

(b) Development of innovative approaches to teaching, fostering increased student learning in the classroom, and participating in the evaluation of instructional effectiveness in order to improve instruction.

(c) Involvement in programs of the CSULB Center for Faculty Development; participation in teaching development seminars or conferences sponsored by the department, College, University, or relevant professional organizations; giving or receiving formal or informal pedagogical coaching; and other activities which contribute to the development of improved teaching effectiveness.

(d) Development of new curriculum, instructional programs or materials, including electronic or multimedia instructional software or new advising materials or programs.

2. All faculty are encouraged to be actively involved in instructionally-related activities outside the classroom in such areas as academic advising, field trips, student mentoring, collaborative research projects with students, and thesis supervision.

III. RESEARCH, SCHOLARLY, AND CREATIVE ACTIVITIES (RSCA)

(PS 09-10) 2.2 Research, Scholarly and Creative Activities (RSCA)

Departments and colleges shall develop their own definitions, standards, and criteria for the evaluation of RSCA. The University RTP policy provides a guiding framework for this charge.

Faculty members are expected to make significant and ongoing contributions of substance in RSCA throughout their careers. All faculty members are expected to produce quality RSCA achievements that contribute to the advancement, application, or pedagogy of the discipline or interdisciplinary studies.

Academic disciplines vary in the meaning, scope, and practice of RSCA. Evidence of research, scholarly and creative activities and accomplishments includes, but is not limited to, publications of merit reviewed by professional peers, scholarly presentations, fellowships, grants, contracts, scholarship of engagement, and artistic exhibits and performances. These achievements must be reviewed by professional peers and disseminated to appropriate audiences.
A. RSCA in the Department of Marketing. In the Department of Marketing, faculty are expected to remain current in their field and to be engaged in an ongoing program of scholarship or creative activity that demonstrates intellectual and professional growth in the discipline over time. Candidates are expected to produce a portfolio of quality scholarly and/or creative achievements that contribute to the advancement, application, or pedagogy of the discipline (or interdisciplinary studies).

1. Faculty in the Department of Marketing are required to concentrate their research efforts on publication of articles in academic journals of recognized quality. The quality of any publication is to be indicated by the Candidate in their narrative by using readily recognizable criteria, such as widely accepted journal rankings, impact factors such as the Social Science Citation Index/Science Citation Index (SSCI/SCI), Google Scholar, Web of Science, and other criteria that indicate level of journal quality (e.g., quality of journal editorial boards and peer and external review, although a peer-reviewed journal is normally a necessary, but not a sufficient, criterion for quality designation of articles published). In their narrative, Candidates may specify the type and level of their contribution to a particular article, however, the value of their contribution remains a function of the quality and impact of the article. This same standard applies to all types of RTP recommendations (reappointment, tenure, and promotion to professor).

2. In all cases, it is incumbent on the Candidate to specify and support the quality of his or her journal publications using the above-stated criteria and/or additional relevant measures of quality.

3. Normally, the majority of the articles published, or accepted for publication, at the time of submittal of a faculty member’s RTP file, must show the Candidate’s affiliation with the College of Business Administration/CSULB. However, all articles published during any years granted to the candidate towards RTP are included in the candidate’s RSCA portfolio.

4. Conference proceedings and presentations strengthen a Candidate’s scholarly portfolio, but do not substitute for journal articles.

B. RSCA Criteria for Reappointment. Reappointment is critical as it indicates the University’s, the College’s, and the Department’s potential long-term commitment to the Candidate. The Department of Marketing requires that the Candidate must show the reasonable likelihood that he or she will be able to meet the teaching, research, and service requirements for tenure. However, reappointment does not guarantee a favorable recommendation for tenure.

1. Normally, the Department of Marketing requires at least one journal publication with the College/CSULB affiliation, published or accepted for publication without major revisions, in a recognized quality journal for a positive recommendation for reappointment. In addition, the Candidate is expected to have multiple research projects in progress. Conference presentations or proceedings will make the candidate’s case stronger, but do not substitute for journal publications.
2. In addition to intellectual accomplishments, a Candidate’s portfolio shall contain works-in-progress that clearly show a strong likelihood of continued research productivity and output in recognized quality journal publications after being reappointed.

3. Tenure-track faculty represent a substantial investment by the University, the College of Business Administration, and the Department and thus, must demonstrate satisfactory progress toward tenure before being reappointed.

C. RSCA Criteria for Tenure. For a positive recommendation on tenure, the Department of Marketing requires three or more journal publications of recognized quality, inclusive of at least one top/highest quality journal publication or its equivalent during the Candidate’s probationary period inclusive of service credit year(s). However, the quality of a Candidate’s publications can affect the quantity of publications required. For example, a Candidate could receive a favorable RSCA tenure evaluation with as few as two journal publications provided that those publications are in the top/highest quality journals in the candidate’s discipline, or in a related discipline. In all cases, a balance between quality and quantity must be maintained with quantity never substituting for minimally acceptable quality.

1. As specified above, it is incumbent on the Candidate to specify and support the quality of his or her journal publications using the above-stated criteria and/or additional relevant measures of quality.

2. In addition to intellectual accomplishments, a Candidate’s portfolio shall contain works-in-progress that clearly show a strong likelihood of continued research productivity and output in recognized quality journal publications after being awarded tenure and/or promotion.

3. In the Department of Marketing, promotion to associate professor normally will be awarded to assistant professors who receive a favorable tenure recommendation. The Department of Marketing shall not recommend tenure for any Candidate at the assistant professor level who is not worthy of promotion to associate professor.

D. RSCA Criteria for Promotion to Professor. Full professor is the highest rank a faculty member can achieve. Promotion to professor shall not be an automatic advancement over time, but an earned honor based on performance. For promotion to professor, a Candidate must have at least three journal articles published in journals of recognized quality within the period of review inclusive of at least one top/highest quality journal publication or its equivalent. However, the quality of a Candidate’s publications can affect the quantity of publications required. Manuscripts accepted for publication without major revisions could be included in the minimum requirement. It is incumbent upon the Candidate to specify and support the quality of his or her publication portfolio. There must also be evidence that the Candidate will continue to publish in recognized quality journals after being promoted to full professor.
E. Additional RSCA Contributions. A Candidate can enhance the strength of his/her RTP file by additional activities that include, but are not limited to, substantial records of peer-reviewed professional activities and products. Such activities and products may include books, articles in professional journals, conference proceedings, scholarly presentations, software and electronically published documents, and successful grants, fellowships, and contracts, especially if these receive favorable notice or reviews from professional peers.

IV. SERVICE AND ENGAGEMENT AT THE UNIVERSITY, IN THE COMMUNITY AND IN THE PROFESSION.

(PS 96-10) 2.3 Service Quality service contributions and activities are necessary to ensure and enhance the quality of programs and activities at the university, in the community, and in the profession. All faculty members are expected to participate in the collegial processes of faculty governance and to maintain active engagement within the university, community, and profession through quality service contributions and activities throughout their career. Meaningful service should be related to the academic expertise and rank of the faculty member.

Departments and colleges shall develop their own standards and criteria for the evaluation of quality service. These standards and criteria shall be based in a comparative evaluation of responsibility and commitment across service obligations at the department, college, and university levels. Departments and colleges shall then make clear to the candidate what types of service are appropriate to faculty rank and experience. Examples of service contributions may include, but are not limited to, leadership roles in faculty governance activities and committees; authorship of reports and other materials pertinent to university, college, or department policies and procedures; ongoing advising of student groups; service or leadership activities for professional organizations or boards; conducting external evaluations; and consulting in public schools, local government, and community organizations.

A. Service in the Department of Marketing. In the Department of Marketing, faculty members should consider themselves to be representatives and envoys of their department, College, University, and profession when conducting service engagements both internal and external to the University. Candidates should not only represent the Department of Marketing and the CBA in a professional and collegial manner, but must also ensure that service activities and outcomes are reported to and shared, where appropriate, with College and department committees. In the Department of Marketing, service may be contributed to the University, the community, and the discipline, but it must be clearly related to the academic expertise of the Candidate. It is incumbent on the Candidate to ask the department Chair or his/her designated mentor if potential service is consistent with the Department, College, and/or University mission.

B. Service Expectations and Standards. Candidates are expected to participate actively in the collegial processes of faculty governance, as well as in appropriate professional organizations and/or activities. In the Department of Marketing, Candidates are expected to provide quality service, where in addition to regular committee attendance they show initiative and leadership by actively engaging and participating in department, College, and/or University initiatives. Examples of service include, but are not limited to:
1. Service to the University and College of Business Administration.

(a) Active involvement on committees at all levels of the University and the university system, with emphasis upon the departmental and College levels for assistant and associate professors.

(b) Authorship of documents, reports and other materials pertinent to the University, College, or department mission and/or procedures.

(c) Sponsoring student groups and supporting student recruiting and retention activities.

(d) Participation in the College’s AACSB accreditation efforts (e.g., preparation of AACSB reports and the assessment of College programs).

2. Service to the Profession. The Candidate is expected to provide services to his or her profession through active involvement and participation in discipline-oriented activities such as:

(a) Holding an office in a professional society or association at local, state, national, and/or international levels.

(b) Serving on the editorial board for an academic or professional journal.

(c) Reviewing manuscripts for academic or professional journals and conferences.

(d) Serving as conference program organizers, session chair or paper discussant for academic conferences or meetings.

(e) Serving on selection panels for grants, fellowships, and contract awards.

3. Service to the Community. In addition to service to the University and the profession, Candidates may participate in community activities requiring their academic expertise and professional skills. These may include:

(a) Giving speeches or media interviews.

(b) Serving on committees or providing pro bono seminars or workshops.

(c) Providing pro bono consultantships to public schools, local government, and community service organizations.

C. Service Evaluative Criteria. The evaluation of service shall be based on:

(a) The quality and significance of the service activity itself.

(b) The degree to which the activity contributes to the mission of the University, the CBA, and/or department.

(c) The quality and the extent of the Candidate’s involvement and contribution to the service activity.

(d) The degree of the Candidate’s leadership in the service activity.

D. The Candidate’s Responsibilities. The Candidate must provide a documented narrative of his or her service contributions and it is incumbent on the Candidate in this narrative to describe in detail the above evaluative criteria. The Candidate, in addition to describing and documenting membership and attendance, shall describe contributions.
1. Candidates shall summarize their contributions to committee and council work and to other processes of faculty governance in addition to documenting their attendance and participation. The Candidate may provide an estimate of the hours of work per semester or per year that a particular service commitment required.

2. Candidates shall also include documentation of participation in collegial processes of faculty governance by including an appendix in their file of supplemental documents including the first page of minutes of council and committee meetings in order that the frequency of meetings and the Candidate’s attendance and contributions can be assessed.

3. Candidates shall provide official correspondence from professional societies and associations attesting to the Candidates’ participation and/or any leadership roles in the organization.

V. RESPONSIBILITIES IN THE RTP PROCESS

Please refer to the University RTP Document (PS 09-10) – Section 3.0.

VI. COMPOSITION AND QUALIFICATIONS OF MARKETING RTP COMMITTEES

A. Committee Membership Criteria. Department RTP Committee must consist of at least three members. The Committee members must be tenured faculty. A faculty member participating in the Faculty Early Retirement Program (FERP) may serve on the Department RTP Committee if approved by the majority of the tenured and tenure-track faculty of the Department and approved by the President (Collective Bargaining Agreement 15.2). However, in no cases will the RTP committee consist of faculty members all of whom, or the majority of which, are FERP participants.

B. Promotions. In all promotion cases, members of the committee must have a rank higher than or equal to the rank for which a candidate is being considered.

C. Department Chair. This same requirement applies to the Department Chair if he/she reviews the Candidate for promotion.

VII. TIMELINES FOR THE RTP PROCESS

Please refer to the University RTP Policy (PS 09-10) – Section 4.0.

VIII. APPOINTMENT AND PROMOTIONAL LEVEL CRITERIA AND EVALUATION OF RTP CANDIDATES

Please refer to the University RTP Policy (PS 09-10) – Section 5.0.
IX. STEPS IN THE RTP PROCESS

Please refer to the University RTP Policy (PS 09-10) – Section 6.0.

X. ADDITIONAL PROCESSES

Please refer to the University RTP Policy (PS 09-10) – Section 7.0.

XI. CHANGES AND AMENDMENTS TO THE RTP POLICY

Any amendment must be approved by: (i) a majority of the tenured and probationary faculty of the Department in a secret mail ballot, (ii) Faculty Council, (iii) the Dean, and (iv) the Provost.