**CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH**

**UNIVERSITY RESOURCES COUNCIL (URC)**

**Meeting #1**

**September 7, 2010**

**BROTMAN HALL 302**

**1:00PM – 3:00PM**

1. The meeting was called to order at 1:04PM with the following persons present:

Officers: Praveen Soni, Chair, Paul Ratanasiripong, Vice-Chair, Sharon Guthrie, Secretary

Members: Terie Bostic, Paul Buonora, Doug Butler, Lou Caron, Tim Caron, Ali Chu, David Dowell, Todd Fox, Dee Dee Green, Doug Harris, Ann Johnson, Ted Kadowaki, Patricia Kearney, Michael Losquadro, Patti Meylor, Bill Moore, Tariq Shehab, Jalal Torabzadeh, Henry Wu, Rosario Yeung-Lindquist.

Excused: Jean Houck, Carol Perruso-Brown, Charleen Rice

1. The agenda for the meeting was approved.
2. Minutes of the March, April, and May 2010 meetings were approved.
3. Announcements:
* The dates for Fall URC reports/presentations are:
	+ Oct. 5 Don Para
	+ Oct. 19 Jeet Joshee and King Alexander
	+ Nov. 2 Mary Stephens
	+ Nov. 16 Sharon Taylor and Brian Nowlin
	+ Doug Robinson, Holly Harbinger and Scott Apel will also be invited to the URC this semester.
* URC will have a first-reading of three items from the CHHS and COE.
1. Reports
2. 2009-10 University and Divisional budgets and 2010-11 proposed sources and uses of funds—Ted Kadowaki (provided a handout to members)
	* The budget for 2010-11 year has not been approved by the legislature, and may not even be approved until October or later.
	* Provided a recap of the Governor’s budget who has made higher education a priority
	* Provided campus response to the expected budget cuts.
	* Provided budget status as of 9-7-10. $106m will be made available to the CSU as part of the Federal stimulus. CSU could get between $106m and $305m for 2010-11
	* Provided detailed budget planning sources and uses plan for 2010-11.

 For further information, please see Budget Central at <http://www.csulb.edu/about/budgetcentral> or contact Ted Kadowaki at tkadowak@csulb.edu

1. 2009-10 targets and enrollment –David Dowell
	* Fall 2010 enrollment: targeted downsizing by 3044 FTES from 2009-10; CSULB accomplished approximately 50% reduction by moving summer to self-support; remaining reduction occurred in Fall 2010; Fall 2010 enrollment for both freshmen and transfers is as forecasted; most colleges are close to their targets.
	* Self-Support Summer 2010 was successful despite higher fees, which will provide additional money to the Colleges;
	* Spring 2011 enrollment—is likely to be open to Spring transfers, but no guarantee until budget is settled. Also, some graduate students will be admitted for Spring. Fewer applications than usual. Spring 2011 may have a larger target than Fall 2010 for the first time, which may further limit the number of Fall 2011 admissions due to larger number of continuing students.
	* Spring targets for Colleges will be set according to two scenarios: both with and without budget augmentation. The scenario with augmentation is the most likely.
	* Summer 2011: may go back to State support according to CO; but, nothing is definite at this time. Grave consequences for access and enrollment expected if this happens.
	* AA budget for 2010-11:
		1. Elements of the original budget reduction plan discussed at the Academic Senate Retreat and the RPP have been fairly accurate. Colleges received planning budgets last Spring.
	* 2009-10 Academic Affairs Budget and 2010-11 proposed budget—David Dowell
		1. Mentioned areas of reduction, e.g. , student success grants, movement of summer to self support
		2. No technical layoffs of permanent staff, TT faculty, or 3-year entitled lecturers; however, lecturers lost over 100 FTEF
		3. Dave Dowell agreed to provide information at the next meeting about the downsizing of course sections in 2009-10 as a means of determining impact of lost lecturer positions.
2. Unfinished business from Spring 2010
	1. Tim Caron will draft a resolution for timely election of URC members so that they are known before the end-of-year organizational meeting.
	2. Topics/questions carried over from last year’s discussion were:
		1. What role does URC play in the lottery decision-making process?
		2. Do we want to revisit the development of a tenure-track density task force?
3. New Business
	1. URC Charge and Composition
		1. Concern was raised regarding overlap (duplication) between the RPP and the URC charges and functions
		2. Ted Kadowaki mentioned that the RPP deals only with the State General Fund whereas the URC deals with the resources of all campus entities (e.g., University, Academic Affairs, Parking, 49er shops, Housing).
		3. Several members believe that the URC should become pro-active in the advocacy arena, e.g., making recommendations, setting priorities, and thus have a greater impact on fiscal decision-making. Also recommended was a closer relationship with Academic Senate (AS) as many issues that come before the AS have resource implications.
		4. The possibility of revising the URC Charge was mentioned. This item will remain on the agenda for discussion. The charge of the RPP will also be provided.
	2. URC member to CCPE Advisory Committee
		1. Need a URC member to serve who is interested will attend meetings, and report back to the Council (will be on next agenda).
	3. URC member to Task Force on Sustainability
		1. Need a URC member to serve who has the interest, knowledge and commitment to sustainability (will be on the next agenda). Will also report back to the Council.
4. Open discussion: What shall we focus on this year?
	1. Members will be asked for their ideas and feedback on URC foci for 2010-11 (will be on the next agenda).
5. The meeting was adjourned at 3:03pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Sharon R. Guthrie
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