EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
AGENDA

Tuesday, October 16, 2018
2:00 – 4:00 pm
Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)


1. Call to Order – called to order at 2:05 pm

2. Approval of Agenda – approved by unanimous consent

3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting of October 9, 2018 – approved as amended by unanimous consent

4. Announcements and Information:

   • NS attended the council of chairs for the CSU system. Many criticize the top-down approach of CO, especially regarding executive orders. Also, the GEGR issue is causing some divisiveness throughout the various faculties. There may be another EO within a year. CSU has the highest percentage of GE. The EO attempts to articulate what shared governance really means. It appears we will be advising students based on EO 1100.
   • Benjamin P. Bowser, the author of *The Abandoned Mission In Public Higher Education* has shown interest in guest lecturing or presenting a workshop on campus as part of Beach 2030. The book addresses the failure of the CSU to live up to its mission. NS also reached out to Maggie Berg and Barbara Seeber, authors of *The Slow Professor*. We have $5,000 to spend on these proposed events. NS suggested repurposing the “Legacy Lecture” date (March 14th) since there were no applications.
   • President’s office notified NS that we need committee members needed for Alumni Awards Nominating Committee, Title IX committee, and honorary degree review committee. We are unable to maintain a democratic process with only a week’s notice. NS to respond saying we need more time. Eileen Klink agreed to serve on the Alumni Awards Nominating Committee, which meets once per semester. NS reports we have 113 reservations for the AS Retreat.
   • DDF announced the president of CFA is coming to campus on Monday, October 22nd and Tuesday, October 23rd to do 90-minute presentations on what the union does for us. Doug also reminded us about midterm election issues that impact education.
   • Emely Lopez (ASI) expressed student concern about having to interact with the chair in order to get a grade appeal form. The visit with the chair is purposeful so the student completely understands the policy and procedure. A meeting with the chair can indicate the student’s chance of succeeding in the appeal, reveal capricious behavior, or result in another solution that negates the need for further action.
5. Reminder
   5.1. Academic Senate meeting Thursday, October 18, 2018, 2:00 – 4:00 pm, PSY 150
   5.2. Academic Senate Retreat Thursday, October 25, 2018, 2:00 – 4:00 pm, CCPE conference area

6. Special Orders
   6.1. Report: Provost Jersky – JC reported on behalf of BJ, stating they need to consult with counselors to examine unit count issues that could result from the GEGR.

7. New Business
   7.1. WASC Steering Committee membership – Someone from DAF should be on the committee. But it would involve an executive amendment to the resolution that requires a motion, second, and vote on the AS floor. JC to look into this.
   7.2. CSU campuses received a PRA request (Public Records Act) from Andrew Litt and Michael Mathis for grade distribution data from 2013-2018. These requests are often data mining for profit. But legitimate requests are made that need to be investigated. Names need to be redacted for most requests; university attorneys are frequently consulted. When information about faculty is requested, affected faculty are notified. Scott Apel will look into this and report back to NS via email.
   7.3. Tenets of shared governance document – EC of ASCSU consulted with COBOT; no solution resulted in the discussions due to time constraints. A subsequent summer meeting of the EC of ASCSU revealed much disagreement with the policy, stating it does not prevent executive orders and is a backwards step from the 1985 policy. NS asked for feedback on when to bring this before AS – most likely November 15th. Eileen anticipates a robust discussion on this topic.
   7.4. New PARC template – JC previously reported that UPRC is working on a streamlined template that will ensure the document is more a cut-and-paste from the self-study.
   7.5. Issues with permits during the first two weeks – NS wants to know if there is recourse to college deans or associate deans imposing their policies on faculty without consensus. Faculty council and Chair’s Meeting would be the proper forum for this discussion. Therefore, NS said we could remove this from our agenda.

1. Old Business
   1.1. Call to Action for Beach 2030 -
   1.2. Academic Senate Retreat organization – NS reports we are prepared for retreat. Still need help with Kahoot quiz. NH has crafted the quiz, which presently has about 6 questions. The ideal number is about 15 questions.

Adjournment – adjourned at 3:52 pm