

**CSULB International Education Committee (IEC)
Standing Committee of the Academic Senate**

**Friday, April 8, 2011
11:30 am - 1:30 pm, AS 122**

MINUTES #5 2010-11

Present: Eileen Bosch (Library), Chris Druzgalski (Engineering), Teresa Hagen-Crowder (Staff Council/Univ Relations), Heloiza Herscovitz (Liberal Arts), Nathan Jensen (Center for International Education, Leslie Kennedy (Academic Technology), Caroline Lusby (Recreation and Leisure), Richard Marcus (International Studies), Aparna Nayak-Guercio (RGRLL), Laura Portnoi (Education), Raul Reis (ACIP), Lynne Richmond (CCPE), José Rivera-Chang (At-Large, Design), Shadi Saadeh (Engineering), Carlos Silveira (The Arts), Nhora Serrano (Liberal Arts), Amanda Thyden (ASI), Mark Washburn (Business Administration).

Absent Voting Members: Terre Allen (FCPD), David Anglin (At-Large Music), Hamdi Bilici (Business Administration), Michael Blazey (Liberal Arts), Elson Browne (Housing/Residential Life), Khue Duong (Library), Simon George (Int'l Community Council), Rebecca Groff (Student Athlete Services), Rich Haesley (Liberal Arts), Mike Hostetler (Student Services), Jennie Hurley (President's Scholars), Yu Ji (The Arts),), Jeet Joshee (CCPE), Tom Kelty (Natural Sciences/Mathematics), Robin Lee (Career Development Center), Catherine Martin-Dunlop (Natural Sciences/Mathematics), Antonella Sciortino (Engineering), Edward Smith (Business Admin.), Armando Vasquez-Ramos (Center for Community Engagement), Vlatka Velcic (Liberal Arts), and Thomas Washington (Social Work), Emyr Williams (Study Abroad Subcommittee).

Guests: Elaine Haglund (Resource Development), Nilufer Medora (Health/Human Services), Mary Caputi (RGRLL), Leonard Vorhis (International Studies Student Association).

I. Introduction

A. New Member Update

- No new members to report. Everyone reminded to self-nominate themselves to the committee for next year if terming out.

B. Agenda approved.

C. Minutes approved as amended.

II. General Reports

A. Task Force Update (Richard Marcus and Laura Portnoi)

- a. Laura reported on the task force's progress to collate and analyze the data gathered during the past several months. The data includes feedback from the sub-committees, focus groups, and a survey of students and staff. The final document was still in draft format with the possibility of completion by the first week of May. The goals throughout this process have been to be as inclusive as possible.

B. AVP (Jeet Joshee)

- a. Not present. Attending a conference.

C. CIE (Nathan Jensen)

- a. The International Dinner Show sponsored by the International Student Association was taking place that evening. Everyone was encouraged to attend. Tickets: \$20 for staff and faculty. \$15 students.
- b. Elaine Haglund and Nathan Jensen attended the Phi Beta Delta (the international honor society) conference. The society was established at CSULB 25 years ago. Currently, it has 10,000 members; however, the CSULB chapter is inactive. Dean Joshee, Provost Para, and previous Provost Anatol attended the conference, too. In doing so they showed their support for reactivating the CSULB chapter. Nathan Jensen is planning to organize a meeting to determine the campus interest to reestablish the chapter, induct students, and elect officers into the society

by International Student week. A discussion regarding membership fees in order to keep students who have graduated involved was tabled for further discussion when the society begins its organizational meetings.

- c. Nathan announced there would be a reception for departing international students on May 5.

D. Reports from Working Groups

- a) Grants Group – Shadi Saadeh’s group met with Dr. TC Yeh to continue discussion re. developing a workshop and the Cayuse software that they were implementing. We are expected to use the new software (administrative software for grants which many universities have) for the fall pre award submissions. It will be a centralized repository to manage and activate pre-awards electronically. It integrates with federal awards management process. Instructors will be able to pull calls for grants and search on key word for calls for awards, too. It will be online for fall with a one year transition period.
- b) Development Group - Has not met.
- c) Curriculum Group - Has not met.
- d) International Students Group - Has not met. Scheduled to meet in two weeks.
- e) Richard Marcus mentioned that it would helpful if the chairs could call a meeting before the May meeting to see if they have met their goal and to determine the next steps. The IEC has already seen some changes to the initial goals, and it would be worth noting reports of accomplishments and goals for next year at the May meeting.

IV. Old Business

A. Incentive Awards to Globalize Instruction

- a) Nineteen proposals had been submitted so far. The group was going to review and forward their selection by the next week.
- b) A lengthy discussion if the awardees should be selected by the sub-committee or the entire IEC committee ensued. Richard stated that this could be a governance issue and there would be a benefit of IEC to share the information, award distributions, and learn what the proposals were doing. The committee voted (with one abstention) to move the decision to the May meeting.

B. Mission Statement and Charge Discussion

- a) In general the committee has been in agreement with what had been submitted so far. Two points had been previously tabled in order to gather additional information for today’s meeting.
- b) The two issues are a.) if there could be representation from CCPE faculty on the committee and b.) whether exofficio members should be voting or nonvoting members.
 - a. Richard Marcus consulted with Senate executive committee which referred the issue to the provost. They stated that if we were to vote to have a representation from CCPE faculty, it would be challenged even though it is the IEC’s right to vote on this issue. At the end of the day, if the IEC votes for CCPE representation, it would be considered an IEC statement, but it would be challenged.

Discussion was opened prior to the vote. (moved/seconded). Nathan Jensen supported the representation of CCPE faculty. The American Language Institute (ALI) faculty, for example, had been represented on the IEC in the past. They teach international students and should have a faculty voice on a committee that could develop policy that could affect them. There was discussion regarding the lack of RTP process for the instructors in CCPE, but Lynne Richmond pointed out that they have lecturer status, and there are other lecturers who are not CCPE faculty on the IEC. Richard stated that if the senate voted to support this issue, it would be perceived as a protest vote and continue to foster the negative view of the IEC on the Senate. Nathan moved to take a straw poll on how many would be in favor of adding faculty representation from ALI to the IEC. (seconded.) There were 11 for, 7 against, 2 abstentions.

Lynn Richmond advocated for ALI faculty representation, and Richard shared his concern of the IEC perception when it was trying to affect changes at the same time. Raul Reis stated he didn’t see the Senate caring about this issue. Chris Druzgalski moved to a discussion of a list of the pros and cons on this issue. Nathan moved to put forth the split vote to SEP and to let SEP decide.

Pros

- Recognition that ALI faculty teach international students, and they are not staff.
- ALI has played an important instructional role and will in the future on campus.
- There has been a concerted effort to move CCPE closer into the consortium of colleges under Academic Affairs.

Cons

- Disproportionate representation relative to other colleges.
- The protest vote would be taken by the Senate executive committee with potential negative ramifications. We could be expending political capital.

Discussion: the Senate has negative views of the committee even though the committee always advocates for international education. The committee was not originally a Senate affiliate, but eventually gave in to become affiliated as a standing committee. The IEC has always been interested in members who advocated for international issues on campus, so they wanted input on the membership which is not the norm within the Senate standing committees. When the decision was made to join the Senate, the Senate chair agreed to the IEC continuing to have a say in its membership.

It was moved that the committee vote on CCPE faculty representation on the IEC committee (moved/seconded). There were 9 votes in favor, and 8 votes against, with 3 abstentions.

- c) Second issue: Should exofficio members be voting or nonvoting members.

Richard repeated comments from the last meeting. Exofficio should vote because they are integral to this committee. This would take precedent since other committees don't let exofficio members vote. Motion: The IEC was here to make recommendations for the exofficios. Moved/seconded

Discussion: Exofficio means that person or their duly appointed representative. Need to have consistently utilized people at the meetings. Hopeful that exofficio members would be able to vote to be involved in policy making.

It was moved to vote for or against exofficio candidates with 9 for and 10 against with 2 abstentions. The motion failed to approve exofficio members as voting members of the IEC.

V. New Business

A. Linkages

- Ca' Foscari, Venice (Italy)

Mary Caputi (working with Clorinda Donato) described the university as an established institution known for its foreign language curriculum. Well-known for foreign language and Middle Eastern and far eastern Lang. Have liberal arts, and natural sciences. Working with Clorinda. Courses taught in Italian. Spanish majors would be interested in addition to Italian students. Semester long thing with a direct exchange. Students can extend into a yearlong.

Discussion – Felt that it is a great opportunity because of the Italian language, and the program won't compete with Florence program which is more art. Unanimously approved to waive the first reading. For Aye – 20. Against - 0. Abstentions - C

- Mahidol University (Thailand)

A top tier university with 150 degree programs. Close to Bangkok. Graduate courses taught in English. Moved to waive the first reading/seconded. Aye – 19. Nay - 0. Unanimously approved.

VI. Announcements

- Nilufer is taking 20 students to New Zealand in June.
- The Comparative World Literature department is having a conference on comic spirit in the modern age in the Anatol Center. Intl comedy has been going on for 40years.
- Raul Reis announced that the ACIP Report committee had met to see if the six students who were called back from Japan would lose the semester. The CIE was working on refunding their tuition

feeds, getting priority registration for this year and making sure their financial aid packages weren't jeopardized.