**Overall Impact:**
The likelihood that a project will have a **sustained** and **powerful** influence on science (and/or clinical practice and/or technological developments?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score</strong></td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
<td>4 5 6</td>
<td>7 8 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluating Overall Impact:**
Consider the 5 criteria: significance, investigator, innovation, approach, environment (weighted based on reviewer’s judgment)

- e.g. Applications are addressing a problem of **high** importance in the field. May have some or no technical weaknesses.
- e.g. Applications may be addressing a problem of **high** importance in the field, but weaknesses in the criteria bring down the overall impact to medium.
- e.g. Applications may be addressing a problem of **moderate/high** importance in the field, but weaknesses in the criteria bring down the overall impact to low.
- e.g. Applications may be addressing a problem of **low or no** importance in the field, with some or no technical weaknesses.

5 is a good medium-impact application, and the entire scale (1-9) should always be considered.